

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

dress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR	A	ITORNEY DOCKET NO.	7 <i>1</i> 6
	09/328,18	3 06/08/9	99 PARISH IV		0	27889-00037	_
Г	-		QM02/0717	_	E	XAMINER	
•	STANLEY R	. MOORE	A THE COMPANY OF A STATE OF A STA				
	JENKENS & 1445 ROSS	GILCHRIST	P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 320				3743		g
	DALLAS TX	75202-279	₹		DATE MAILED:	07/17/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application N . Applicant(s)	····	
Office Action Comment	09/328,183 Parish etal.	hetal.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit  Atkinson 3743		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply	¥1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u> </u>	NG DATE	
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (content a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	i timely.	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/3	8/2000		
☐ This action is FINAL.			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>	pept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is clos</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	e <b>d</b> in	
Disposition of Claims			
<b>□</b> Claim(s) /-20	is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
	is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s) / - 2 O	are subject to restriction o	r election	
Application Papers	requirement.		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ol	is □ approved □ disapproved.		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li></ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved □ disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	is approved disapproved.  Djected to by the Examiner.  Fr.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  pjected to by the Examiner.  pr.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been  mber)		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  or.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  or.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  or.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  or.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	on, PTO-15	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

## Response to Amendment

In view of applicant's addition of claims 2-20, the following restriction requirement is given below.

## Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

- A) The species as illustrated in Figure 1
- B) The species as illustrated in Figures 2-3
- C) The species as illustrated in Figure 4
- D) The species as illustrated in Figure 5A
- E) The species as illustrated in Figure 5B
- F) The species as illustrated in Figure 5C
- G) The species as illustrated in Figure 7
- H) The species as illustrated in Figure 8

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
PRIMARY FXAMINER

July 14, 2000